UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,708 08/25/2003		Alfred Ecker	038741.52686US	9822
23911 CROWELL&	7590 03/01/2007 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY GROUP	LEE, PATRICK J		
P.O. BOX 1430	00 N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGIC	JN, DC 20044-4300		2878	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,708	ECKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patrick J. Lee	2878		

	Before the Filing of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Patrick J. Lee	2878					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
	$\overline{\Sigma}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) (The second secon							
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
nave buinder set for	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of examples of the control of the	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	IDMENTS	to a colonia de de debe el Clima e buiel	will not be entered b	0001100				
3. 🔲	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE helow):	ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further company they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.		TE BEIOW),					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•				
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•						
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) :						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).							
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi ovided below or appended.	II be entered and an (explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-12,15,17,19 and 20</u> .							
	Claim(s) rejected. 1,3-12,13,17,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. 🗀	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Deryo	IP.				
13. 🛭	Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Georgia	Egos//				
			PJL Vectinology P February 28, 2007	enter 2800				

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive, as a result the rejections of the claims (US 5,525,796 to Hakke in view of US 5,945,665 to Hay) will stand. The thrust of Applicant's arguments is directed towards the matching of the diameter of the optical fiber and the recess's breadth and depth. While Applicant is correct in noting that the groove (40) disclosed by Haake is large enough to encompass both the fiber and a molten metallic material (18), claim 1 & 12 when plainly read does not disclose the teachings of Haake. The breadth and depth of the groove being matched to a diameter does not mean that only the diameter of the fiber can fit within the groove. Even if this were the case, the figure as shown would not sufficiently show this "matching".

Georgia Epps / Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800